arXiv:1403.5187v1 [math.AG] 20 Mar 2014

DEGENERATION OF HODGE STRUCTURES OVER PICARD MODULAR SURFACES

GIUSEPPE ANCONA

Abstract. We study variations of Hodge structures over a Picard modular surface, and compute the weights and types of their degenerations through the cusps of the Baily-Borel compactification. The main tool is a theorem of Burgos and Wildeshaus.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Picard datum 3
3. Compactifications and boundary 5
4. Degeneration of Hodge structures 11
References 17

1. Introduction

The aim of the present work is to compute the weight of degeneration of a variation of Hodge structures through the cusps of a Picard modular surface.

Such surfaces are PEL Shimura varieties; they are moduli spaces of abelian varieties of dimension 3 endowed with an action of an order of a quadratic imaginary field, and with some other additional structures.

Fix a Picard modular surface S, let S^* be its Baily Borel compactification,

$$j : S \hookrightarrow S^*$$

the canonical open immersion and

$$i : \mathrm{pt} \hookrightarrow S^*$$

the inclusion of one fixed point of the boundary. Consider the "canonical construction" functor

$$\mu_S : \mathrm{Rep}_{G,\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{VHS}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

from the $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of the group G of the Shimura datum underlying S to (admissible) variations of $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures over S ([Pin90, 1.18], see also [BW04, §2]).

The aim of the paper is to compute the weight of the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure

$$i^* R^k j_* \mu_S(F),$$

for all integers k and all G-representations F. As an example, consider the universal abelian scheme A over S (which is of relative dimension 3), and take the r-fold fiber product:

$$f : A^r \longrightarrow S.$$

Then the relative cohomology $R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ is a variation of Hodge structures over S which belongs to the image of the functor μ_S (for all non-negative integers p and r).

Theorem 1.1. *If $p > 6r$ then the sheaf $R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ vanishes. For $p \leq 6r$ the following holds:*

1. *the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^* R^0 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $\{p-j\}_{c_p \leq j \leq C_p}$,*
2. *the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^* R^1 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $\{p+1-j\}_{0 \leq j \leq M_p}$,*
3. *the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^* R^2 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $\{p+3+j\}_{0 \leq j \leq M_p}$,*
4. *the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^* R^3 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $\{p+4+j\}_{c_p \leq j \leq C_p}$,*
5. *the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^* R^k j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ vanishes for $k \geq 4$,*

where $c_p = 1$ if $p = 1, 6p-1$ and 0 otherwise, $C_p = \min\{p, 2r, |6r-p|\}$ and $M_p = p$ if $p \leq r$, $M_p = r + [\frac{p-r}{2}]$ if $r < p \leq 3r$, $M_p = r + [\frac{5r-p}{2}]$ if $3r < p \leq 5r$, and $M_p = 6r - p$ if $p > 5r$.

To compute the weight of a general $i^* R^k j_* \mu_S(F)$, one can extend the scalars from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{C}$. Then, as the functors $i^* R^k j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}$ are linear, it is enough to consider irreducible representations of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

In our case one has an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebraic group

$$G_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathrm{GL}_3 \times \mathbb{G}_m,$$

so that (after having chosen a Borel and a maximal torus), the maximal weight of any irreducible representation corresponds to a list of four integers (a, b, c, d) such that $a \geq b \geq c$. The following is our main result (see Theorem 4.11 in the text).

Theorem 1.2. *Let F_λ be the irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ of maximal weight $\lambda = (a, b, c, d)$. Then the following holds:*

1. *$i^* R^0 j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ is of weight $-2a - b - 2d$,*
2. *$i^* R^1 j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ is of weight $-a - 2b - 2d + 1$ and $-2a - c - 2d + 1$,*
3. *$i^* R^2 j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ is of weight $-a - 2c - 2d + 3$ and $-2b - c - 2d + 3$,*
4. *$i^* R^3 j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ is of weight $-b - 2c - 2d + 4$,*
5. *$i^* R^k j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ vanishes for $k \geq 4$.*

These computations of weights of degenerations are part of Wildeshaus' program [Wil09], whose aim is the construction of motives associated to modular forms (generalizing the case of classical modular forms, which is due to Scholl [Sch94]). However, we do not explore this connection here; for explanations and references see Remark 4.15.

The main tool for our work is a theorem of Burgos and Wildeshaus [BW04], which works for a general Shimura variety and reduces the computation of weights of degenerations to a computation of cohomology of groups. In our case the groups are enough concrete to allow us to do all the computations explicitly.

1.3. Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we recall generalities about Picard surfaces and their Shimura datum. The standard reference is [Gor92].

In Section 3 we describe the boundary of Baily-Borel and toroidal compactifications, as well as the Shimura datum underlying the strata of these compactifications.

Section 4 contains the main results concerning the degeneration of Hodge structures.

1.4. Notations and convention. We will write $\mathbb{R}$ for the field of real numbers, $\mathbb{C}$ for the field of complex numbers and $\mathbb{A}_f$ for the ring of finite adeles.

The morphism of complex conjugation will be written

$$z \mapsto \overline{z}.$$

We will write

$$\mathbb{S} = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\, \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$$

for the $\mathbb{R}$-algebraic group called *Deligne's torus*. Here $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}$ is the Weil restriction of scalars.

When $L \supset K$ are fields and X is an object defined over K (for instance a variety or a group), we will write X_L for its base change to L.

1.5. Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Marco Maculan, Siddarth Sankaran and Jörg Wildeshaus for helpful comments on a previous version of this paper. I am grateful for the hospitality of the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics, Bonn.

2. Picard datum

In this section we recall the definition of a Picard datum (G, X); the standard reference is [Gor92]. This is a Shimura datum of PEL type. We first construct the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group G, which after extending scalars becomes the direct product of a linear group by the multiplicative group (Remark 2.3).

2.1. Notation. Let E a quadratic imaginary field embedded in $\mathbb{C}$, and n the only positive square-free integer such that

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{n}).$$

Let $V = V_E$ be an E-vector space of dimension 3, and J be a hermitian form of signature $(2, 1)$. In particular, one can find three orthogonal vectors $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ such that $J(v_1, v_1)$ and $J(v_2, v_2)$ are positive and $J(v_3, v_3)$ is negative.

Definition 2.2. In the context of Notation 2.1 we define

$$G = GU(V, J)$$

to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group of the E-linear automorphisms of V which respect the hermitian form J up to scalar.

Remark 2.3. Let $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be V viewed as a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. Then the algebra $E \otimes E$ acts on the E-vector space $V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$, and hence induces a decomposition

$$V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E = V_i \oplus V_{-i},$$

where

$$V_i = \{w \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E, \ \ (a \otimes 1)w = (1 \otimes a)w \ \ \forall a \in E\},$$

and

$$V_i = \{w \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E, \ \ (a \otimes 1)w = (1 \otimes \overline{a})w \ \ \forall a \in E\}.$$

Write

$$\pi_i : V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E \longrightarrow V_i$$

for the projector whose kernel is V_{-i}.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be an E-basis of V; then

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\pi_i(v_1), \pi_i(v_2), \pi_i(v_3)\}$$

is a basis of V_i. One has an isomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : G_E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{3,E} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,E}$$

given by

$$g \mapsto (g_{|V_i}, \chi_g),$$

where the restriction $g_{|V_i}$ of g to V_i is written in the basis $\mathcal{B}_i$ and χ_g is the scalar such that $J(g\cdot, g\cdot) = \chi_g J(\cdot, \cdot)$.

2.4. Convention. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be an E-basis of V. Then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{v_1, i\sqrt{n}v_1, v_2, i\sqrt{n}v_2, v_3, i\sqrt{n}v_3\}$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of V. For any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R, and any R-point $g \in G(R)$, we have will write

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} (a_{11}, b_{11}) & (a_{12}, b_{12}) & (a_{13}, b_{13}) \\ (a_{21}, b_{21}) & (a_{22}, b_{22}) & (a_{23}, b_{23}) \\ (a_{31}, b_{31}) & (a_{32}, b_{32}) & (a_{33}, b_{33}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{jk} \in R$ is the coordinate of $g(v_k)$ with respect to v_j and $b_{jk} \in R$ is the coordinate of $g(v_k)$ with respect to $i\sqrt{n}v_j$. Note that, by definition of G, we have

$$g(i\sqrt{n}v_k) = i\sqrt{n}g(v_k),$$

in particular the $a_{jk} \in R$ and $b_{jk} \in R$ determine g.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be an E-basis of V such that $J(v_1, v_1)$ and $J(v_2, v_2)$ are positive and $J(v_3, v_3)$ is negative (see Notation 2.1). Consider the morphism of algebraic groups

$$h_{v_1,v_2,v_3} : \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$$

given by

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{z_1+z_2}{2}, \frac{z_1-z_2}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (\frac{z_1+z_2}{2}, \frac{z_1-z_2}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (\frac{z_1+z_2}{2}, \frac{z_2-z_1}{2i\sqrt{n}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where G is the group of Definition 2.2 and the morphism is written in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ using Convention 2.4.

We will write X for the topological space of the $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of the morphism h_{v_1,v_2,v_3}.

Note that X does not depend on the choice of v_1, v_2, v_3 and that the morphism h_{v_1,v_2,v_3} only depends on the E-line passing through v_3. One can check that h_{v_1,v_2,v_3} is a morphism of algebraic groups using the following computation.

Lemma 2.6. *The formal identity*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a+b}{2} & -\frac{a-b}{2i} \\ \frac{a-b}{2i} & \frac{a+b}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c+d}{2} & -\frac{c-d}{2i} \\ \frac{c-d}{2i} & \frac{c+d}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ac+bd}{2} & -\frac{ac-bd}{2i} \\ \frac{ac-bd}{2i} & \frac{ac+bd}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

holds.

Definition 2.7. The pair (G, X) will be called a Picard datum. It is a *pure Shimura datum* in the sense of [Pin90, Definition 2.1].

When $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is a *neat* subgroup [Pin90, §0.5] we will write

$$S = Sh^K(G, X)$$

for the induced Shimura variety. It is a complex[1], smooth and quasi-projective surface which we call a "Picard modular surface"; see [Gor92] for details and proofs.

Remark 2.8. The Picard modular surface S is the fine moduli space of polarised abelian varieties of dimension 3 endowed with an action of an order of E and some additional structures (depending also on K). In particular, there is a universal abelian scheme

$$f : A \to S;$$

see [Gor92] for details and proofs.

3. Compactifications and boundary

The aim of this section is to describe the Shimura data underlying the strata of the boundary of the Baily-Borel and toroidal compactifications of a Picard modular surface S (Definition 2.7).

These strata are associated to parabolic subgroups of the group G introduced in Definition 2.2 (for generalities on strata of compactifications of Shimura varieties see [Pin90, Chapter 4]).

We start by describing these parabolics (3.1-3.3), then the Shimura datum associated to each stratum (3.4-3.10) and deduce the geometry of the boundary (3.12-3.14).

Lemma 3.1. *Let (V, J) be as in Notation* 2.1. *Then there exist infinitely many isotropic vectors in V.*

Moreover if $\overline{v}$ be any non-zero isotropic vector, then there exists a positive rational number b and an isomorphism

$$(V, J) \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^3, J_b)$$

sending $\overline{v}$ to the first vector of the canonical base of E^3, where J_b is the hermitian form

$$J_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

[1]In fact, it has a (canonical) model over the quadratic imaginary field E [Gor92].

Proof. For the first part, let us diagonalize the hermitian form J. Then we have to look for rational solutions of an equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} c_i t_i^2 = 0$$

with c_i integers, four positive and two negative. This indeed has a solution (and thus infinitely many) by [Ser77, corollaire 2, p. 77, chap. 4].

The rest is basic linear algebra. □

Definition 3.2. Let D be an isotropic E-line of V. An E-basis w_1, w_2, w_3 of V is called a *parabolic basis* adapted to D if w_1 generates D, and the matrix representing J in this basis is of the form J_b for some b (following notations of Lemma 3.1).

Proposition 3.3. *Let D be an isotropic E-line of V (see Lemma* 3.1*), and define Q_D to be the subgroup of G stabilizing D.*

Then

$$Q_D = G \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (a_{11}, b_{11}) & (a_{12}, b_{12}) & (a_{13}, b_{13}) \\ (0,0) & (a_{22}, b_{22}) & (a_{23}, b_{23}) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (a_{33}, b_{33}) \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where the coordinates are written using Convention 2.4 *and we are using a parabolic basis adapted to D (Definition* 3.2*).*

The unipotent radical of Q_D is

$$R_u(Q_D) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (1,0) & (-ba_{23}, bb_{23}) & (-\frac{b}{2}(a_{23}^2 + nb_{23}^2), b_{13}) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) & (a_{23}, b_{23}) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (1,0) \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

with Lie algebra

$$\text{Lie } R_u(Q_D) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (0,0) & (-ba_{23}, bb_{23}) & (0, b_{13}) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (a_{23}, b_{23}) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (0,0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

The torus

$$T_{m,D} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{2}, \frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (\frac{\lambda_3+\lambda_4}{2}, \frac{\lambda_3-\lambda_4}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (\frac{\frac{\lambda_3\lambda_4}{\lambda_2}+\frac{\lambda_3\lambda_4}{\lambda_1}}{2}, \frac{\frac{\lambda_3\lambda_4}{\lambda_2}-\frac{\lambda_3\lambda_4}{\lambda_1}}{2i\sqrt{n}}) \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a maximal torus of G defined over $\mathbb{C}$, and the torus

$$T_D = \left\{ \mu \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda, 0) & (0,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (\lambda^{-1}, 0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a maximal split torus defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. There is only one Borel B_D of G such that

$$Q_D \supseteq B_D \supseteq T_D$$

(and it is Q_D itself).

Moreover Q_D is a admissible parabolic of G in the sense of [Pin90, Definition 4.5] *and the admissible parabolics are exactly those subgroups of the form $Q_{D'}$ for some isotropic E-line D' of V.*

Proof. Let w_1, w_2, w_3 be a *parabolic basis* adapted to D. The group Q_D stabilizes the line D and so it has to stabilize also $D^\perp$ the plan orthogonal to D. As D is generated by w_1 and $D^\perp$ is generated by w_1 and w_2 we deduce the description of Q_D in the statement.

The unipotent radical of Q_D is

$$R_uQ_D = G \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (1,0) & (a_{12},b_{12}) & (a_{13},b_{13}) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) & (a_{23},b_{23}) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (1,0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

By imposing the condition of being elements of the group G, we find the equations in the statement.

A computation shows that the elements of $T_{m,D}$ belong to G and hence to Q_D. This torus is of dimension 4 and so, by Remark 2.3, it is maximal.

All maximal torus over $\mathbb{C}$ are conjugated, in particular on the diagonal of any such torus T we will have the same coordinates appearing in $T_{m,D}$. In particular, a subtorus of T that is defined and splits over $\mathbb{Q}$ must verify $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4$, hence it is of dimension at most 2. As T_D has dimension 2, it is a maximal split torus defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Note that by the description of Q_D, R_uQ_D and $T_{m,D}$ we gave above, we have $Q_D/R_uQ_D \cong T_{m,D}$. In particular Q_D has dimension 7 (and it is connected). By Remark 2.3, B_D must have dimension 7, hence $B_D = Q_D$.

By Remark 2.3, the adjoint group of G is simple up to isogeny (namely it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-form of SL_3), in particular the admissible parabolics of G are the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolics of G. It is clear that a subgroup of the form $Q_{D'}$ is a parabolic. Let us show now that any $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic of G is contained in one subgroup of the form $Q_{D'}$. Following notations from Remark 2.3, a parabolic P defined over E has to stabilize a line of V_i; moreover it is of dimension at least 7. If P is moreover defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, then it has to stabilize a line l of V, and hence also the orthogonal plan $l^\perp$. This line has to be isotropic, otherwise these two conditions force P to be of dimension at most 6.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let (Q_D, B_D, T_D) be as in Proposition* 3.3. *Consider the cocharacter*

$$\lambda_D : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow T_D$$

that in a parabolic basis adapted to D (Definition 3.2*) is given by*

$$t \mapsto \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (t,0) & (0,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (t^{-1},0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

(we write coordinates using Convention 2.4*). Then λ_D is the cocharacter associated to the data (Q_D, B_D, T_D) in the general formalism of* [Pin90, §4.1].

Proof. Any cocharacter $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow T_D$ is of the form

$$t \mapsto \left\{ t^b \begin{pmatrix} (t^a,0) & (0,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (t^{-a},0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

By [Pin90, §4.1], the image of λ_D has to be contained in the derived group of G, so $b = 0$.

Consider the action of $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\operatorname{Lie} G$ induced by λ_D. By [Pin90, §4.1], the sub-Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie} Q_D \subset \operatorname{Lie} G$ coincide with the sum of the eigenspaces associated to eigenvalues of non-negative weights, hence $a \geq 0$. Note that in the decomposition $\operatorname{Lie} Q_D = (\operatorname{Lie} G)_0 + (\operatorname{Lie} G)_a + (\operatorname{Lie} G)_{2a}$ each of the three eigenspaces is non-trivial. Also note that we have $\operatorname{Lie} R_u(Q_D) = (\operatorname{Lie} G)_a + (\operatorname{Lie} G)_{2a}$.

On the other hand, as G is reductive, $R_u(Q_D)$ is the unipotent radical of a group belonging to a Shimura datum (it will be the group P_D of Lemma 3.8) and the decomposition $\operatorname{Lie} R_u(Q_D) = (\operatorname{Lie} G)_a + (\operatorname{Lie} G)_{2a}$ is the one induced by the Shimura datum (see [Pin90, §4.8, 4.9, 4.10]). In particular, as the weights allowed in a mixed Shimura datum are $0, -1$ et -2 (see [Pin90, Definition 2.1]), we must have $a = 1$. □

3.5. Notation. Following [Pin90, §4.2, 4.3], we write the following morphisms of algebraic groups

$$h_0 : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{C}}$$

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left[(z_1, z_2) \,, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z_1+z_2}{2} & \frac{z_2-z_1}{2i} \\ \frac{z_1-z_2}{2i} & \frac{z_1+z_2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

and

$$h_\infty : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{C}}$$

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left[(z_1, z_2) \,, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i(z_1 z_2 - 1) \\ 0 & z_1 z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

We will consider also the "weight morphism"

$$p : \mathbb{G}_{m,R} \to \mathbb{S}$$

given by

$$z \mapsto (z, z).$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let D be an isotropic E-line of V, and $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ a parabolic basis adapted to D (see Definition 3.2). Consider the map*

$$\omega_{w_1,w_2,w_3} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2,\mathbb{C}} \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$$

given (in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ and using Convention 2.4) by

$$\left[(z_1, z_2), \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right] \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (d,0) & (0,0) & (0, \frac{-b}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ (0,0) & (\frac{z_1+z_2}{2}, \frac{z_1-z_2}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) \\ (0, \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) & (a,0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then ω_{w_1,w_2,w_3} is the only map verifying the following properties:

- *it is a morphism of algebraic groups defined over $\mathbb{R}$;*
- *the equality $\omega_{w_1,w_2,w_3} \circ h_0 = h_{w_2, w_1+w_3, w_1-w_3}$ holds,*
- *the cocharacters $\lambda_D \cdot (h_{w_2,w_1+w_3,w_1-w_3} \circ p)$ and $\omega_{w_1,w_2,w_3} \circ h_\infty \circ p$ are conjugated on to the other by an element of $Q_D(\mathbb{C})$.*

Here the morphism h_{w_2,w_1+w_3,w_1-w_3} is defined in Definition 2.5, the group Q_D is defined in Proposition 3.3, the cocharacter λ_D is defined in Lemma 3.4, and the morphisms h_0, h_∞, p are defined in Notation 3.5.

Proof. Existence and uniqueness of such a morphism come from [Pin90, Proposition 4.6]. The properties are easy to check. Note that the cocharacters

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (t^2,0) & (0,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (t,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (1,0) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (t^2,0) & (0,0) & (0,\frac{i(1-t^2)}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ (0,0) & (t,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (1,0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

are conjugated one to the other by

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1,0) & (0,0) & (0,-\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ (0,0) & (1,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,0) & (1,0) \end{pmatrix}$$

which belongs to $Q_D(\mathbb{C})$. □

Definition 3.7. Let us keep the notation from Lemma 3.6, we will write

$$h_{\mathcal{B},\infty} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}$$

for the morphism $\omega_{w_1,w_2,w_3} \circ h_\infty$; explicitly

$$(z_1,z_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} (z_1z_2,0) & (0,0) & (0,\frac{i(1-z_1z_2)}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ & (\frac{z_1+z_2}{2},\frac{z_1-z_2}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) \\ & & (1,0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *The smallest normal $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of the group Q_D (Proposition 3.3) containing the image of the morphism $h_{\mathcal{B},\infty}$ (Definition 3.7) is*

$$P_D = G \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (z_1z_2,0) & * & * \\ & (\frac{z_1+z_2}{2},\frac{z_1-z_2}{2i\sqrt{n}}) & * \\ & & (1,0) \end{pmatrix} \right\} = G \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (a^2+b^2,0) & * & * \\ & (a,\frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}) & * \\ & & (1,0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Moreover, $P_D(\mathbb{R})$ is path connected and W_D, the unipotent radical of P_D, coincides with R_uQ_D, the unipotent radical of Q_D.

Proof. First of all, note that the equality $W_D = R_uQ_D$ holds a priori by [Pin90, proof of Lemma 4.8]. Now, the image of the morphism $h_{\mathcal{B},\infty}$ is the group

$$\mathrm{Im} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (a^2+b^2,0) & (0,0) & (0,\frac{i(1-a^2-b^2)}{\sqrt{n}}) \\ & (a,\frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}) & (0,0) \\ & & (1,0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Note that P_D described in the statement is a normal subgroup Q_D and it contains Im. On the other hand, the group P_D has to contain W_D. We deduce that P_D cannot be smaller.

Let us now show that the group $P_D(\mathbb{R})$ is path connected. First note that, as subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$, it coincides to the set of elements of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} |z|^2 & w_1 & w_2 \\ & z & w_3 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

respecting the hermitian form J_b up to a scalar (see Definition 3.2). In particular it is generated by the two subgroups

$$\left\{\begin{pmatrix} |z|^2 & & \\ & z & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \text{ and } \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -bt+ibu & b\frac{t^2+u^2}{2}+iv \\ & 1 & t+iu \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\},$$

which are both path-connected. □

Definition 3.9. Let $p : \mathbb{G}_{m,_R} \to \mathbb{S}$ be as in Definition 3.5, $h_{\mathcal{B},\infty}$ as in Definition 3.7 and P_D as in Lemma 3.8. Following [Pin90, Lemma 4.8], we define the unipotent algebraic group U_D and the topological space X_D as follows. Define

$$U_D = \exp(W_{-2} \operatorname{Lie} P_D),$$

where $W_{-2} \operatorname{Lie} P_D$ is the subspace of $\operatorname{Lie} P_D$ where, for $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$, the action of $h_{\mathcal{B},\infty} \circ p(t)$ is given by the multiplication by t^2. Define X_D as the orbit of $h_{\mathcal{B},\infty}$ under the action by conjugation of $P_D(\mathbb{R})U_D(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 3.10. *The topological space X_D (see Definition* 3.9*) is connected and the pair (P_D, X_D) is a (mixed) Shimura datum (see* [Pin90, Definition 2.1]*). Moreover (P_D, X_D) is a proper rational boundary component of (G, X), and any proper rational boundary component of (G, X) is of the form $(P_{D'}, X_{D'})$ for some isotropic E-line D' of V (see* [Pin90, §4.11] *for generalities on proper rational boundary components).*

Proof. The space X_D is connected as $P_D(\mathbb{R})$ is (Lemma 3.8). The general theory [Pin90, §4.1-4.11] and our previous results in this section imply that (P_D, X_D) is a proper rational boundary component of (G, X). As any admissible parabolic is of the form $Q_{D'}$ (Proposition 3.3) then any proper rational boundary component of (G, X) is of the form $(P_{D'}, X_{D'})$ □

Lemma 3.11. *The unipotent groups W_D (Lemma* 3.8*) and U_D (Definition* 3.10*) are of dimension respectively* 3 *and* 1*.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, W_D coincides with the unipotent group $R_u Q_D$. Using the description of $R_u Q_D$ in Proposition 3.3 we have that W_D has dimension 3.

Following the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have $\operatorname{Lie} R_u(Q_D) = (\operatorname{Lie} G)_a + (\operatorname{Lie} G)_{2a}$ and $\operatorname{Lie} U_D = (\operatorname{Lie} G)_{2a}$. Then we can conclude combining this with the description of $\operatorname{Lie} R_u Q_D$ in Proposition 3.3. □

Proposition 3.12. *Let (P_D, X_D) be the Shimura datum of Proposition* 3.10*, W_D the unipotent radical of P_D and U_D the unipotent group as in Definition* 3.9*. Consider the quotients of Shimura data (in the sense of* [Pin90, Proposition 2.9]*)*

$$(P_D, X_D)/W_D = (P_D/W_D, X_D^1)$$

and

$$(P_D, X_D)/U_D = (P_D/U_D, X_D^2).$$

Then, as complex analytic variety, X_D^1 is a point, X_D^2 is an affine space of dimension 1 *and X_D is an affine space of dimension* 2*.*

Proof. Consider the unipotent quotients $P_D \to P_D/U_D \to P_D/W_D$. As X_D is connected (Proposition 3.10), by [Pin90, Remark 2.9] the topological spaces X_D^1 and X_D^2 are also connected. On the other hand P_D/W_D is commutative, so X_D^1 is a finite number of points, hence a point.

Then, by the general results on unipotent extensions [Pin90, §2.18, 2.19], X_D^2 is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space whose real dimension coincides with the one of (W_D/U_D). We conclude using the Lemma 3.11.

Again by [Pin90, §2.18, 2.19], X_D is a vector bundle over X_D^2 whose fiber have complex dimension coinciding with the one of U_D. By Lemma 3.11, this is a line bundle, and as X_D^2 is contractible, the line bundle is trivial. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let S be a Picard modular surface as defined in Definition 2.7, ∂S be the boundary of the Baily-Borel compactification of S and ∂S^T be the boundary of the toroidal compactification of S. Then the Shimura data underlying the strata of ∂S are of the form $(P_D/W_D, X_D^1)$ and the Shimura data underlying the strata ∂S^T are of the form $(P_D/U_D, X_D^2)$. In particular, as complex varieties, ∂S is a finite number N of points and ∂S^T is a disjoint union of N smooth and proper curves of genus 1.*

Remark 3.14. The number N in the previous proposition is computed in several cases in [Sto12]. Note also that the union of the N points (or the union of the N curves) is actually defined over the imaginary quadratic field E, but a priori each point is not.
The geometry of ∂S^T appears already in [Lar92] and [Bel02, Chapter 1].

Proof. The general theory ([Pin90, Chapter 6] and [Wil00, Lemma 1.7]), Proposition 3.10 and Proposition 3.12 imply the Baily-Borel case, as well as the fact that the Shimura data underlying the strata of ∂S^T are of the form $(P_D, X_D)/U_D^\sigma$, with U_D^σ a subgroup of the unipotent group U_D (Definition 3.9).

If U_D^σ were trivial, then the boundary would be of dimension 2 by Proposition 3.12, which is impossible as S is a surface. On the other hand U_D has dimension 1 by Lemma 3.11, hence $U_D^\sigma = U_D$. □

4. Degeneration of Hodge structures

This section contains the main result, Theorem 4.11. We study how variations of Hodge structures over a Picard modular surface degenerate through the cusps of its Baily-Borel compactification. More precisely, we describe the types of the Hodge structures $R^k i^* j_* \mu_S(F)$ for all G-representations F (see Notation 4.1). Remark 4.2 shows that these structures have a geometric interest.

We start by reducing the problem to a combinatorial question (4.3-4.6). The main ingredient is a theorem of Burgos and Wildeshaus, which in this case has a simplified version via Lemma 4.3. We then deal with this combinatorial question (4.7-4.9) and finally describe the Hodge structures we are interested in (4.10-4.12). The last part (4.13-4.15) explains how to deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 4.11.

The following notation will be used throughout the section.

4.1. Notation. Let S be a Picard modular surface (Definition 2.7), S^* be its Baily Borel compactification and

$$j : S \hookrightarrow S^*$$

be the canonical open immersion. By Corollary 3.13 the boundary of S^* is a finite set of points. Let us fix one of these points, and let

$$i : \mathrm{pt} \hookrightarrow S^*$$

be the inclusion.

Let (G, X) be the Shimura datum underlying S (Definition 2.7),

$$(G_{\mathrm{pt}}, X_{\mathrm{pt}}) = (P_D/W_D, X_D^1)$$

be the Shimura datum underlying (the stratum containing) pt (Corollary 3.13) and $Q_D \supset P_D$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup (see Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.8). Recall that P_D and Q_D have the same unipotent radical W_D (Lemma 3.8).

By [Pin90, 1.18] (see also [BW04, §2]), there are linear tensor functors

$$\mu_S : \mathrm{Rep}_{G,\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{VHS}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and

$$\mu_{\mathrm{pt}} : \mathrm{Rep}_{G_{\mathrm{pt}},\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

(called *canonical construction* functors) from the $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G (resp. G_{pt}) to (admissible) variations of $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures over S (resp. over pt).

The functor $i^*j_* : \mathrm{VHS}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ from (admissible) variations of $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures over S to $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures over pt is left exact and, for any integer $k \geq 0$, we write

$$R^k i^* j_* : \mathrm{VHS}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{pt})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

for the derived functor. Note that $R^k i^* j_* = i^* R^k j_*$.

Remark 4.2. Let V be as in Notation 2.1 and let $f : A \longrightarrow S$ be the universal abelian scheme (Remark 2.8). Then one has a canonical identification

$$\mu_S(V^\vee) = R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_A.$$

In particular, as μ_S is a tensor functor, all the relative cohomology sheaves of any r-fold fiber product of A over S are in the image of the functor μ_S (as well as several interesting direct factors of these sheaves, e.g. primitive parts with respect to a Lefschetz decomposition).

Lemma 4.3. *The $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group Q_D/W_D is isogenous to the direct product of a compact torus and a $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus. In particular, any neat arithmetic subgroup of $Q_D/W_D(\mathbb{Q})$ is trivial.*

Proof. Consider the compact torus defined over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$T_n = \{(a, b)\,,\, a^2 + nb^2 = 1\},$$

where n is the integer as in Notation 2.1. The map

$$\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}^2 \times T_n^2 \longrightarrow Q/W_1$$

given (using Convention 2.4) by

$$(\lambda, \lambda', (a,b), (a',b')) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\lambda'(a,b) & & \\ & \lambda'(a',b') & \\ & & \lambda^{-1}\lambda'(a,b)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isogeny. □

Theorem 4.4. *For any $F \in \mathrm{Rep}_G$, there is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structures over* pt

$$R^k i^* j_*(\mu_S(F)) = \mu_{\mathrm{pt}}((H^k(W_D, F_{|Q_D}))_{|G_{\mathrm{pt}}}),$$

where $F_{|Q_D}$ is F seen as representation of Q_D and $H^k(W_D, \cdot)$ is the k-th derived of the functor that associates to a Q_D-representation its W_D-invariant part, and $\cdot_{|G_{\mathrm{pt}}}$ is again a restriction functor (a Q_D/W_D-representation is seen as a G_{pt}-representation).

Proof. This is [BW04, Theorem 2.9] in a simplified version, that holds because the arithmetic group appearing in loc. cit. has to be trivial by Lemma 4.3. □

4.5. Notation. For any reductive group H, we will write $F_{\lambda,H}$ for the H-irreducible representation whose maximal weight is λ. We will simply write F_λ if the group H can be deduced from the context.

Theorem 4.6 (Kostant Theorem, see [War72] thm 2.5.2.1)**.** *Let H be a reductive group over $\mathbb{C}$, B a Borel with unipotent radical W, Φ the associated root system, Φ^+ the subset of the positive ones, ρ the half of the sum of positives roots, and $\mathcal{R}$ be the Weyl group.*

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$, define the length of σ as

$$l(\sigma) = \#\{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \,,\, \sigma^{-1}\alpha \notin \Phi^+\}.$$

Then one has an equality of B/W-representations

$$H^k(W, F_{\lambda,H\,|B}) = \oplus_{l(\sigma)=k} F_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho, B/R}$$

(following Notation 4.5*).*

4.7. Lengths of roots. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a parabolic basis adapted to D (Definition 3.2). We have an isomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : G_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$$

from Remark 2.3. Write $T_s \subset \mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$ for the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices and $\Delta \subset \mathrm{GL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$ for the diagonal ones. To describe the root system let us choose $\Delta \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{G}^4_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ as maximal torus, and $T_s \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ as Borel containing the torus. Note that $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}$ restricts to an isomorphism:

$$\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : Q_{D,\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_s \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}}.$$

We write $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_4$ for the four standard characters, which together form a basis for the lattice of characters. We also write $e_{ij} = \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1}$. The simple roots are e_{12} and e_{23}, the other positive root is e_{13}, and so

$$\rho = e_{13}.$$

The Weyl group $\mathcal{R}$ is the group of permutations of the first three coordinates of the characters. We will write elements of $\mathcal{R}$ with the standard notations for permutations; their lengths are given by

$$l(e) = 0\,,\ l(12) = l(23) = 1\,,\ l(123) = l(132) = 2\,,\ l(13) = 3.$$

4.8. Computation. We keep notations from 4.7. Let $\lambda = (a, b, c, d)$ be any character written in the basis fixed in 4.7. Note that we have

$$\rho = (1, 0, -1, 0).$$

Let us compute $\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ for all permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
e(a+1,b,c-1,d) - (1,0,-1,0) &= (a,b,c,d),\\
(12)(a+1,b,c-1,d) - (1,0,-1,0) &= (b-1,a+1,c,d),\\
(23)(a+1,b,c-1,d) - (1,0,-1,0) &= (a,c-1,b+1,d),\\
(13)(a+1,b,c-1,d) - (1,0,-1,0) &= (c-2,b,a+2,d),\\
(123)(a+1,b,c-1,d) - (1,0,-1,0) &= (c-2,a+1,b+1,d),\\
(132)(a+1,b,c-1,d) - (1,0,-1,0) &= (b-1,c-1,a+2,d).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.6, we deduce the following equalities of $Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}/W_{D,\mathbb{C}}$-representations:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}}) &= F_{(a,b,c,d)},\\
H^1(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}}) &= F_{(b-1,a+1,c,d)} \oplus F_{(a,c-1,b+1,d)},\\
H^2(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}}) &= F_{(c-2,a+1,b+1,d)} \oplus F_{(b-1,c-1,a+2,d)},\\
H^3(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}}) &= F_{(c-2,b,a+2,d)},
\end{aligned}$$

and $H^k(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}}) = 0$, for $k \geq 4$ (we are following Notation 4.5).

Remark 4.9. As $Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}/W_{D,\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{G}^4_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ (see 4.7), the irreducible representations of $Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}/W_{D,\mathbb{C}}$ are just characters. In particular the six representations on the right hand side above are 1-dimensional and explicit.

4.10. Restriction to $\mathbb{S}$, the types. Consider $h_{\mathcal{B},\infty} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}$ of Definition 3.7 and the induced map

$$\overline{h_{\mathcal{B},\infty}} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}/W_{D,\mathbb{C}}.$$

Consider also $\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}/W_{D,\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}^4_{m,\mathbb{C}}$ defined in 4.7. The composition

$$\phi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \overline{h_{\mathcal{B},\infty}} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{G}^4_{m,\mathbb{C}}.$$

is then given by

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1 z_2, z_1, 1, z_1 z_2).$$

Hence, for any character $\lambda = (a, b, c, d)$ (written in the basis fixed in 4.7), one deduces from 4.8 the following equalities of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$-representations

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}})_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}} &= F_{(a+b+d,a+d)},\\
H^1(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}})_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}} &= F_{(a+b+d,b+d-1)} \oplus F_{(a+c+d-1,a+d)},\\
H^2(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}})_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}} &= F_{(a+c+d-1,c+d-2)} \oplus F_{(b+c+d-2,b+d-1)},\\
H^3(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}})_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}} &= F_{(b+c+d-2,c+d-2)}
\end{aligned}$$

and $H^k(W_{D,\mathbb{C}}, F_{\lambda, G_{\mathbb{C}}|Q_{D,\mathbb{C}}})_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$, for $k \geq 4$ (we are following Notation 4.5).

Theorem 4.11. *Let F_λ be the irreducible representation of $G_\mathbb{C}$ of maximal weight $\lambda = (a, b, c, d)$ (written in the basis fixed in 4.7). Then the following holds:*

(1) $R^0 i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ has type $(-a-b-d, -a-d)$,
(2) $R^1 i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ has types $(-a-b-d, -b-d+1)$ and $(-a-c-d+1, -a-d)$,
(3) $R^2 i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ has types $(-a-c-d+1, -c-d+2)$ and $(-b-c-d+2, -b-d+1)$,
(4) $R^3 i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ has type $(-b-c-d+2, -c-d+2)$,
(5) $R^k i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda)$ vanishes for $k \geq 4$.

Proof. This is Theorem 4.4 with the computations done in 4.10. □

Remark 4.12. Note that the functor $R^k i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}$ is linear, hence the types of $R^k i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F)$ can be computed for any representation F once we know its decomposition into irreducible factors.

Note also that all $\mathbb{C}$-representations F_λ are defined over E (as G splits over E, see Remark 2.3), but not over $\mathbb{Q}$. If we start with a $\mathbb{Q}$-irreducible representation F, then F_E will decompose in general in two factors, say $F_E = F^1 \oplus F^2$ (e.g. $V_E = F_{1,0,0,0} \oplus F_{0,0,-1,1}$), and the types of $R^k i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F^1_\mathbb{C} \oplus F^2_\mathbb{C})$ will respect the Hodge symmetry.

Lemma 4.13. *Let p and r be two non-negative integers, and let us keep notations from 4.7. The $G_\mathbb{C}$-irreducible representations contained in $\bigwedge^p (F^{\oplus r}_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F^{\oplus r}_{1,0,0,-1})$ are exactly the $F_{a,b,c,d}$ verifying*

(1) $r \geq a \geq b \geq c \geq -r$,
(2) $3r + a_- + b_- + c_- \geq -d \geq a_+ + b_+ + c_+$, and
(3) $a + b + c + 2d = -p$,

where, for any integer x, we define x_+ (resp. x_-) as x itself if it is positive (resp. if it is negative) and 0 otherwise.

Proof. In the representation $F^{\oplus r}_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F^{\oplus r}_{1,0,0,-1}$ we have an explicit basis of $6r$ elements that are eigenvectors for the action of the maximal torus. We deduce from them a basis $\mathcal{B}_p$ of $\bigwedge^p (F^{\oplus r}_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F^{\oplus r}_{1,0,0,-1})$: each vector of $\mathcal{B}_p$ corresponds to the choice of p between the $6r$ previous elements. Then each vector of $\mathcal{B}_p$ is also an eigenvector for the action of the torus, whose weight the sum of the weights of the p elements chosen. From this we deduce that a, b, c, d is a weight for the action of the maximal torus on $\bigwedge^p (F^{\oplus r}_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F^{\oplus r}_{1,0,0,-1})$ if and only if the integers verify

(1) $r \geq a, b, c \geq -r$,
(2) $3r + a_- + b_- + c_- \geq -d \geq a_+ + b_+ + c_+$, and
(3) $a + b + c + 2d = -p$.

The condition $a \geq b \geq c$ corresponds to the choice of the Borel containing the maximal torus (as we did in §4.7).

We need to show now that any a, b, c, d verifying the condition in the statement is the maximal weight of a subrepresentation of $\bigwedge^p (F^{\oplus r}_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F^{\oplus r}_{1,0,0,-1})$. Suppose $-d \leq p/2$ and a, b, c negative (the other cases are analogous) and consider

$$W_{a,b,c,d} = \big(\bigwedge^3 F_{0,0,-1,0}\big)^{\otimes -a} \otimes \big(\bigwedge^2 F_{0,0,-1,0}\big)^{\otimes a-b} \otimes \big(F_{0,0,-1,0}\big)^{\otimes b-c} \otimes \big(F_{0,0,0,-1}\big)^{\otimes -d}.$$

The maximal weight of $W_{a,b,c,d}$ is a, b, c, d. To show that $W_{a,b,c,d}$ is a subrepresentation of $\bigwedge^p(F_{0,0,-1,0}^{\oplus r} \oplus F_{1,0,0,-1}^{\oplus r})$ it is enough to show that $F_{0,0,0,-1}$ is a subrepresentation of $F_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F_{1,0,0,-1}$. This is indeed the case: the action canonical paring of the standard representation of GL_3 with its dual induces a non-zero morphism $F_{0,0,-1,0} \oplus F_{1,0,0,-1} \longrightarrow F_{0,0,0,-1}$. □

4.14. Proof of Theorem 1.1. First if all, we have the following identification

$$R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r} = \bigwedge^p (F_{0,0,-1,0}^{\oplus r} \oplus F_{1,0,0,-1}^{\oplus r});$$

see also Remark 4.2 and Remark 4.12.

We deduce from Theorem 4.11 and Lemma 4.13 that

(1) the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^*R^0 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $p - (a - c)$,
(2) the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^*R^1 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $p + 1 - (b - c)$ and $p + 1 - (a - b)$,
(3) the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^*R^2 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $p + 3 + (b - c)$ and $p + 3 + (a - b)$,
(4) the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^*R^3 j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ has weight $p + 4 + (a - c)$,
(5) the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $i^*R^k j_* R^p f_* \mathbb{Q}_{A^r}$ vanishes for $k \geq 4$.

with a, b, c varying between the numbers satisfying conditions in Lemma 4.13.

First of all, note that the map

$$(a, b, c, d) \mapsto (-c, -b, -a, -3r - d)$$

gives a bijection between the values of (a, b, c, d) appearing in the list of irreducible subrepresentations of $\bigwedge^p(F_{0,0,-1,0}^{\oplus r} \oplus F_{1,0,0,-1}^{\oplus r})$ and the values appearing in $\bigwedge^{6r-p}(F_{0,0,-1,0}^{\oplus r} \oplus F_{1,0,0,-1}^{\oplus r})$, so that we can suppose $p \leq 3r$.

Note now that $a - b, b - c$ and $a - c$ are non-negative integers bounded by $2r$ and p.

Let us start by studying the possible values $j \leq p$ of $a-b$ (the case $b-c$ is similar). If $j \leq r$, then the triple $(a, b, c) = (j, 0, 0)$ (or $(a, b, c) = (j, 0, -1)$, depending on the parity of j) verifies the conditions in Lemma 4.13 and gives $a - b = j$.

If $r < j \leq M_p$, then $(a, b, c) = (r, r - j, r - j)$ (or $(a, b, c) = (r, r - j, r - j - 1)$, depending on the parity of j) verifies the conditions in Lemma 4.13 and gives $a - b = j$; it is also clear from this description that $a - b$ cannot take values bigger than M_p.

Let us now consider the possible values $j \leq p$ of $a - c$. If $0 < j \leq r$, then the triple $(a, b, c) = (j, 0, 0)$ (or $(a, b, c) = (j, 1, 0)$, depending on the parity of j) verifies the conditions in Lemma 4.13 and gives $a - c = j$.

If $j = 0$, then the triple $(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ if p is even, or $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1)$ if p is odd and at least 3 verifies the conditions in Lemma 4.13 and gives $a - c = 0$; this description shows also that if $p = 1$ then $a - c$ cannot be 0.

If $r < j \leq C_p$, then $(a, b, c) = (r, 0, r - j)$ (or $(a, b, c) = (r, 1, r - j)$, depending on the parity of j) verifies the conditions in Lemma 4.13 and gives $a - c = j$.

Remark 4.15. For any G-representation $F_{a,b,c,d}$, the sheaf $\mu_S(F_{a,b,c,d})$ is the realization of a relative Chow motive over S of the form $M_S(A^r, p, n)$ for some integer r

and s [Anc12, Theorem 4.7]. Here A^r is the r-fold product of the universal abelian scheme A over S (Remark 2.8), and p is a projector.

By [Wil12a, Corollary 2.13], the projector p induces a direct factor $\partial M(A^r)^p$ of the boundary motive $\partial M(A^r)$ of the variety A^r (seen over its field of definition). By [Wil09, Theorem 4.3] if $\partial M(A^r)^p$ *avoids weights* -1 *and* 0 then one has a canonical direct factor of the interior motive of A^r. This is a Chow motive realizing to (a canonical direct factor of) the interior cohomology of A^r.

A necessary (and conjecturally sufficient) condition to have that weights -1 and 0 are avoided is to check this on realization. More precisely, let $\overline{w}$ be the weight of $\mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_{a,b,c,d})$ and w_k^i be a weight of $R^k i^* j_* \mu_{S,\mathbb{C}}(F_{a,b,c,d})$, then one has to check that the list of integers

$$\{k - w_k^i + \overline{w}\}_{k,i}$$

does not contain -1 and 0 (see [Wil12b, Theorem 1.2, Proposition 3.5]).

Using Theorem 4.11 one can compute this list and obtain

$$\{a-b, a-c, b-c, -1-(a-b), -1-(a-c), -1-(b-c)\}.$$

This means that the representations of G that satisfy the condition after realization are exactly those which do not belong to a wall of the Weyl chamber. Hence, one should be able to construct canonical interior motives associated to a "generic" representation. Such a result would be the Picard analogue of Scholl Theorem [Sch94] for modular curves and Wildeshaus Theorem [Wil12b] for Hilbert modular varieties.

References

[Anc12] Giuseppe Ancona. Décomposition du motif d'un schéma abélien universel. *Thèse de doctorat Université Paris XIII*, pages 1–60, 2012. Disponible sur http://www.math.univ-paris13.fr/∼ancona/doc/these.pdf.

[Bel02] Joël Bellaïche. Congruences endoscopiques et représentations galoisiennes. *PhD Thesis Univ. Orsay*, 2002.

[BW04] José I. Burgos and Jörg Wildeshaus. Hodge modules on Shimura varieties and their higher direct images in the Baily-Borel compactification. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 37(3):363–413, 2004.

[Gor92] B. Brent Gordon. Canonical models of Picard modular surfaces. In *The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces*, pages 1–29. Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1992.

[Lar92] Michael J. Larsen. Arithmetic compactification of some Shimura surfaces. In *The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces*, pages 31–45. Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1992.

[Pin90] Richard Pink. *Arithmetical compactification of mixed Shimura varieties*. Bonner Mathematische Schriften [Bonn Mathematical Publications], 209. Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1990. Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, 1989.

[Sch94] A. J. Scholl. Classical motives. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 163–187. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Ser77] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cours d'arithmétique*. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1977. Deuxième édition revue et corrigée, Le Mathématicien, No. 2.

[Sto12] Matthew Stover. Cusps of Picard modular surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 157:239–257, 2012.

[War72] Garth Warner. *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. II*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 189.

[Wil00] Jörg Wildeshaus. Mixed sheaves on Shimura varieties and their higher direct images in toroidal compactifications. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 9(2):323–353, 2000.

[Wil09] J. Wildeshaus. Chow motives without projectivity. *Compos. Math.*, 145(5):1196–1226, 2009.

[Wil12a] J. Wildeshaus. Boundary motive, relative motives and extensions of motives. *XXX*, 2012(10):2321–2355, 2012.

[Wil12b] J. Wildeshaus. On the interior motive of certain Shimura varieties: the case of Hilbert-Blumenthal varieties. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2012(10):2321–2355, 2012.

Duisburg-Essen University, Fakultät Mathematik Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, 9, Thea-Leymann-Strasse, 45127 Essen (Allemagne)

E-mail address: monsieur.beppe@gmail.com

URL: https://www.uni-due.de/~hm0168/